IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA FLORENCE DIVISION

Ronald F. Wise,)
Plaintiff,)) Civil Action No.: 8:06-1981-TLW-BHH
v.	
Michael J. Astrue, Commissioner of Social Security,)))
Defendant.)))

ORDER

On July 10, 2006, the plaintiff, Thomas Anthony Byars, filed a complaint against the defendant, Michael J. Astrue, the Commissioner of Social Security, pursuant to Sections 205(g) of the Social Security Act, as amended (42 U.S.C. §405 (g)) seeking judicial review of the final decision of the Commissioner wherein he was denied disability insurance benefits under Title II of the Social Security Act. (Doc. #1). This matter now comes before this Court for review of the Report and Recommendation ("the Report") filed by United States Magistrate Judge Bruce Howe Hendricks to whom this case had previously been assigned. In the Report, Magistrate Judge Hendricks recommends that the "Commissioner's decision be reversed and remanded under sentence four of 42 U.S.C. §405(g)," to the "administrative law judge for further proceedings as set forth [in the Report]." (Doc. #9). The defendant filed no objections to the Report. Objections were due July 16, 2007.

This Court is charged with conducting a <u>de novo</u> review of any portion of the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation to which a specific objection is registered, and may accept,

8:06-cv-01981-TLW Date Filed 09/17/07 Entry Number 13 Page 2 of 2

reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendations contained in that report. 28 U.S.C. §

636. In the absence of objections to the Report and Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge, this

Court is not required to give any explanation for adopting the recommendation. See Camby v.

Davis, 718 F.2d 198, 199 (4th Cir. 1983).

The Court has carefully reviewed the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation. For

the reasons articulated by the Magistrate Judge, it is hereby **ORDERED** that the Magistrate Judge's

Report and Recommendation is **ACCEPTED** (Doc. #9) and the Commissioner's decision is hereby

reversed and remanded to the administrative law judge for further proceedings as set forth in the

Report.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/Terry L.Wooten

Terry L. Wooten United States District Judge

September <u>17</u>, 2007

Florence, South Carolina

2